IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.483 OF 2006

IN

SUMMARY SUIT NO.2051 OF 2006

1.Leena Ajay Pimple & another. ...Plaintiffs.

vs.

Sneh Lata Bhatnagar. ...Defendant.

Mr.Mishra i/b. U.S.Samudrala, for Plaintiff.

Ms.S.Srikrishna i/b. M/s.Sonal Doshi & Co., for Defendant.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 9th January, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. This summons for judgment, admittedly, has been filed on 30.10.2006. Admittedly, till today the summons for judgment has not been served on the defendant. The learned Counsel appearing for plaintiff is not in a position to give any reasonable or acceptable explanation for not serving the summons for judgment on the defendant for such a long time. This shows that the plaintiff is not

diligent in prosecuting his remedy. Such litigant is not entitled to expeditious trial for decree. The summons for judgment is therefore, disposed of. The defendant is granted unconditional leave to defend the suit. Written statement to be filed within eight weeks from today.